PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064
TELEPHONE (212) 379-3000

LLOYO K, GARRISON (1046-1091)
RANDOLPH E PAUL (1046-1091)
SIMON H, RIFKIND (1050-1995)
LLOYO K, GARRISON (1050-1995)
LOUIS S, WEISS (1027-1990)
JOHN F, WHARTON (1927-1977)

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(212) 473-3553

WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

(212) 492-0553

WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

(212) 492-0553

WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

SDUE STREET NUMBER (103) 393-76100

RUNGED BOOK (103) 393-76100

SOD DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200
POST OFFICE BOX 32

WILLEPHONE (103) 393-7610

NOVEMBER 15, 2023

WILLEPHONE (103) 393-7610

FILEPHONE (103) 393-7610

SOD DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200
POST OFFICE BOX 32

WILLEPHONE (103) 393-7610

FILEPHONE (103) 393-7610

SOD DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200
POST OFFICE BOX 32

WILLEPHONE (103) 393-7610

FOR THE PROPER OF THE RESTORATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

BRIAN KIM KYLE J. KIMPLER ALEXIA D. KORBERG ALAN W. KORNBERG

*NOT ADMITTED TO THE NEW YORK BAR +ADMITTED ONLY TO THE CALIFORNIA BAR

DANIEL J. KRAMER
BRIAN KRAUSE
CAITH KUSHMER
DREGORY, ACHUFER
BRIAN C. LAVIN
NIAOYU GREG LIU
RANDY LUSKEY*+
LORETTA E. LYNCH
JEFFREY D. MARELL
MARCO V. MASOTTI
DAVID W. MAYO
ELIZABETH F. MCCOLM
JEFFREY D. MARELL
MARCO V. MASOTTI
DAVID W. MAYO
ELIZABETH G. DUGHLIN
ALVIARO MEMBRILLERA
MARK F. MENDELSOHN
CLAUDINE MEREDITH-GOUJON
WILLIAM B. MICHAEL
JUDIE NG SHORTELL*
CATHERINE NYARADY
JANE B. O'BRIEN
BRAOR O'B

Via ECF

Hon. Paul A. Engelmayer, United States District Judge **United States District Court** Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Re: In re: Morgan Stanley Data Security Litigation, 1:20-cv-5914 (PAE)

We represent Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC in connection with the above-referenced matter. We write jointly with Settlement Class Counsel, Nussbaum Law Group and Morgan & Morgan. Pursuant to Rule 4.B of this Court's Individual Rules and Practices in Civil Cases, and consistent with the letter motion filed February 15, 2023 (ECF No. 203), the March 14, 2023 Letter submitted to this Court (ECF No. 208), the May 15, 2023 Letter submitted to this Court (ECF No. 210), and as we discussed on the record during the August 5, 2022 settlement approval hearing, we respectfully request leave to publicly file a redacted copy of the fourth quarterly status update report of the work completed to date by Kroll Inc. (the "Final Kroll Report"), given the sensitive personal and confidential information contained therein.

In the Second Circuit, there exists a rebuttable presumption of public access for any "judicial documents" filed with the court. Lugosch v. Pyramid Co. of Onondaga, 435 F.3d 110 (2d Cir. 2006). Simply because a paper is filed with the court does not make it a "judicial document," but rather the document must be "relevant to the performance of the judicial function" or useful in the judicial process. *Id.* at 119. Where a document is found to be a judicial document, but is not necessary for some kind of dispositive determination, the standard to rebut that presumption of public access and to sustain redactions is lower. See Kewazinga Corp. v. Microsoft Corp., 2021 WL 1222122, at *5 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 31, 2021). Two of the kinds of values that may justify the sealing or redaction of documents include protecting privacy interests of third parties or other sensitive information such as proprietary commercial information. See Church & Dwight Co. v. SPD Swiss Precision Diagnostics GmbH, 2018 WL 4253181, at *2 n.1 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 5, 2018) (finding proposed redactions in post-trial submissions appropriate when narrowly tailored to protect proprietary and competitively sensitive information, including information that the parties were contractually obligated to keep confidential); E.E.O.C. v. Kelley Drye & Warren LLP, 2012 WL 691545, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 2, 2012) (noting acceptable justifications for redactions include privacy interests of innocent third parties); Standard & Poor's Corp. v. Commodity Exch., Inc., 541 F. Supp. 1273, 1277 (S.D.N.Y.

Case 1:20-cv-05914-PAE Document 223 Filed 11/20/23 Page 3 of 4

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

1982) (finding limited redactions justified where redactions were tailored to protecting

party's commercial information, including index-rendering methodology, and in order to

avoid potential harassment or disruptions that could prevent the relevant analysts from

performing their jobs properly).

Here, the Final Kroll Report is not a submission in support of a dispositive

motion, for which the most conservative approach would be warranted. As a result, the

report is a judicial document subject to a lower presumption of public access. Nonetheless,

the Final Kroll Report is "relevant to the performance of the judicial function": the Court

ordered the parties to submit quarterly reports to ensure compliance with the settlement

agreement. With that purpose in mind, the parties endeavored to narrowly tailor their

redactions to the Final Kroll Report so that Settlement Class Members who may review the

publicly available version can generally understand the steps that Kroll has undertaken to

recover decommissioned devices and the success of those efforts. Specifically, the

redactions obscure the details regarding Kroll's communications with certain downstream

purchasers of the decommissioned drives at issue, which includes the personal identifiable

information ("PII") of those downstream purchasers. The redactions are intended to both

shield the privacy of the downstream purchasers and avoid sharing information that could

potentially assist a malicious actor in finding and acquiring NetApp devices before Kroll

is able to locate them.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Susanna M. Buergel

Susanna M. Buergel

3

Jane Baek O'Brien Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison, LLP

Counsel for Defendant Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC

/s/ Linda P. Nussbaum

Linda P. Nussbaum Nussbaum Law Group, P.C.

/s/ Jean Martin

Jean Martin Morgan & Morgan

Settlement Class Counsel

cc: All counsel of record via ECF

SO ORDERED.

PAUL A. ENGELMAYER United States District Judge

Paul A. Engloyer

Dated: November 20, 2023